Which Pandemic Are You Living Through?

By Eric F Coppolino
Draft copy, Nov. 28, 2020

I wonder how many hardcore believers in the pandemic exist: not the pandemic, epidemic or outbreak of something that is happening now, but the one we were sold in February and March.

The problem we face today is that political leaders, the media and a large portion of the population are living in the past, a time before the crisis was understood. Today, eight months after the first lockdown, we know more.

Yet how many people still live in the pandemic where 30% to 70% of the population would become infected, and up to 3% of those would die, resulting in the demise of millions of otherwise healthy Americans? How many believe in the one where it was when, not it, every person would get sick? How many still believe we have a novel virus loose — one never before seen, to which nobody was immune?

How many believe in the pandemic where, as a result, we had to *flatten the curve*, because that was all we could do, since there was *no prevention and no cure*, so we would have to do our small part? How many still believe in the pandemic with super-spreader events, and little kids killing their grandparents by breathing?

The pandemic that started spontaneously when an unseen bat of uncertain species from parts unknown encountered some other unidentified animal in a fish market in the heart of a Chinese city, facilitating zoonotic transmission conveyed to humans by some means unidentified and undocumented, which instantly resulted in a pandemic spreading through an entire province of China, across Europe, and to the United States and Canada (but somehow sparing Africa and much of India).

The one we were told took everyone by surprise. The one discussed in detail, during Event 201, held in Manhattan in late 2019. The one that nobody planned for because they could not possibly see such a thing coming. The one that the Rockefeller Foundation has been talking about for a decade.

The pandemic that would so overwhelm New York City hospitals that university dormitories, hotels, the sprawling Jacob Javits Convention Center and the United States Navy hospital vessel *Comfort* would all be deployed and depended upon. The pandemic where people might get turned away from hospitals, which is against federal law.

The pandemic that would be the very worst thing since Spanish Flu in 1918, when people who were fine in the morning allegedly dropped dead riding in the saddle of their horse that afternoon.

The one we were told was with total certainty was caused by a virus that had been isolated and purified and could be precisely identified by its genetic code, and was

found with total certainty in any person who had taken ill. The one we've been told passed Koch's and Rivers' postulates, the scientific principles of microbiology, proving that the real problem was understood.

Then There's the Pandemic We Actually Got

The pandemic that actually happened and that we are told happening) strains to infect 3% of the population in a "hot spot," most of which are not actually infections. Most people don't notice if they have "Covid disease." A few others say it gives them the sniffles, and a few say was like a very bad flu or respiratory infection. It has a 99.8 survival rate, indicating widespread immunity. Nobody has fallen off their horse.

Some people are having a rough recovery. As with any respiratory illness through history, the vulnerable — those very sick and elderly — can lose their lives to it.

Reported deaths are weighted heavily toward people 65 and over, and those already very sick (both — older and immunocompromised, and medicated for two to three diagnosed life-threatening diseases). One hundred thousand people are said to have died in nursing homes, in the United States alone.

The one we find, however, has a false positive rate anywhere from 50% to 90% according to what are considered reliable published sources; and 100% false, based on several past incidents widely reported at the time.

If hospitals are overwhelmed, it's because they are designed without sufficient surge capacity. A system without surge capacity is going to be stressed and tested during a relatively minor event.

When we go looking for the virus said to have killed more than 250,000 Americans, the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) admits that no purified isolate is available. I recognize that there are entities claiming to have isolated and purified the virus, though if that is the case, why does CDC say it has none of it? If anyone would have it, they would.

They make this admission in a context: a gene-edited sequence is being used to "mimic [a] patient specimen" in the match-up and magnification machine known as the PCR, or polymerase chain reaction. This gene-edited sequence *does not exist in nature as a specific virus*, and yet millions of people are coming up "positive" for it. What exactly are they testing positive for?

The Radically Inflated False 'Case' Count: The Casedemic

What we are told is the "case count" of cases that are not cases, is inflated by at least 90% due to fraudulent use of the polymerase chain reaction as the "test." Per its own government authorizations, the PCR cannot test for an infection, cannot detect live virus and cannot diagnose a disease.

The device finds what are claimed to be genetic fragments of virus that are based on a cycle threshold: the more cycles meaning the longer it is left on, the more "positives" it gets — and there is no standard used. Any state or jurisdiction can use whatever cycle

threshold it wants. When a person tests "positive" they are not told the cycle threshold that got the result.

This device is being pushed past all technical, rational and ethical limits, usually set to from CT 40 to CT 47 even Anthony Fauci has admitted that above 35 cycles, "all you get are dead nucleotides." So why are so many places using up to CT 45?

These are now facts widely reported in the mainstream press after being “conspiracy theories" in the alternative press for six months. But this is not news. Problems with the PCR’s false positive rate have been widely known since at the latest 2007, when false epidemics based on use of the PCR were reported in The New York Times and the issue was part of the national record. If we go back to the 1990s, SPIN magazine did this story many, many times.

If the PCR were turned down to 35 (or a more reasonable 20 or 25), the “pandemic” would vanish, and we would be left with a few sick people who need to be treated, and vulnerable populations who need to be cared for. There would be no lockdowns, distancing, or asymptomatic carrier drama — all of which is justified by false “cases” that are harmless to the person tested and everyone around them.

Assuming there is a pandemic, a concept with a specific definition and that deserves scientific and medical scrutiny, the pandemic we have is not the one we were told was coming.

Yet we are acting under the assumptions and horrific predictions of early March, even with a solid eight months confirmation that, at worst, those assumptions were all wrong — all of them, every last one. That has not stopped those responding to this much-reduced threat demolishing everything in their path. It's time to ask why.